

US 10: INTRODUCTION TO CIVIC AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Winter Quarter 2019

UCI Course Syllabus

Lecturers: Victoria Lowerson Bredow, MPH, PhD

Director of Engaged Scholarship, Newkirk Center for Science and Society

Class location: Social and Behavioral Gateway Building Room 4323 (4th floor)

Class Time: Tuesday 12:30 to 3:20pm, additional opportunities will be shared during term.

Office Hours: Please email vlowerso@uci.edu to set up appointment (see instructions on email below and suggest a few times)

To give away money is an easy matter and in any man's power. But to decide to whom to give it and how large and when, and for what purpose and how, is neither in every man's power nor an easy matter.

(Aristotle)

According to the National Philanthropic Trust, in May 2015, there were **over 1,521,000 charitable organizations** in the United States, and, in 2016, giving by Americans (including individual giving, and giving by foundations, corporations and through bequests) topped **\$389 billion** (2.1% of our gross domestic product).

Course Description

This course provides students with a foundation for understanding the role of community engagement, social action and philanthropy. In this course, students will examine the theories and practices of civic and community engagement, and philanthropy. Students will examine and critique strategies for social change. The course will involve hands-on engagement with philanthropy in the form of students making decisions about the distribution of a \$25,000 fund generously donated by [The Philanthropy Lab](#). The course includes lectures, discussions, a group presentation, written papers, readings, and participation in a philanthropy lab project.

The course will examine all levels of philanthropy- from individual to institutional and government. We will discuss the role and structure of foundations, measurement and evaluation processes and partnerships. We will examine the history, status, and composition of philanthropic giving in the United States, as well as the unequal distribution of resources that has been a major driver of the giving. We also examine critiques of the philanthropic sector—including strong critiques of what has been dubbed “the nonprofit industrial complex”.

Giving away money sounds simple, but it is not. There are endless questions to consider. Why do you want to give? What drives you to be engaged? How do you balance your self-interest with the community interest? How do we know what would actually “help” someone if they have needs and lived experience we can never comprehend? How much money does it take? What is the ideal period of time? In collaboration with/leveraging what else? How do we know funds are being used in the most effective and efficient ways?

Required Readings

The Bridgespan Group/GiveSmart, "Donor Decision Tool." (C)

<https://www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/philanthropy/nonprofit-due-diligence-donor-decision-tool>

Bridgespan Group, "How to Research a Nonprofit—Moderate Approach."

<http://www.bridgespan.org/Philanthropy-Advice/Researching-Nonprofits/Due-Diligence-Tool/How-to-Research-a-Nonprofit%E2%80%94Light-Touch-Approach.aspx#.VduoMvIVhBc>

Callahan, David. 2017. *The Givers: Wealth, Power, and Philanthropy in a New Gilded Age*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf. (referred to as "Callahan")

Marullo, S., & Edwards, B. (2000). From charity to justice: The potential of university-community collaboration for social change. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(5), 895-912.

MindTools, "Decision Matrix Analysis." https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTED_03.htm

Liu, Eric. 2017. *You're More Powerful Than You Think: A Citizen's Guide to Making Change Happen*. (referred to as "Liu")

The Philanthropy Primer.

<https://www.jcfhartford.org/Portals/0/Uploads/Documents/Strategic%20Philanthropy%20Primer.pdf>

Stroh, David. 2015. *Systems Thinking for Social Change: A practical guide to solving complex problems, avoiding unintended consequences and achieving lasting results*. (referred to as "Stroh")

W.K. Kellogg Foundation, *Logic Model Development Guide*, pp. 1-14 (C):

<https://www.bttop.org/sites/default/files/public/W.K.%20Kellogg%20LogicModel.pdf>

Learning Outcomes

By the end of the quarter, students will:

1. Understand will have a basic understanding of civic and community engagement and the theory and history of philanthropy in the US, and understand various critiques of the field
2. Understand how individuals and groups create and sustain change
3. Deepen your understanding of social issues and the role systems play in sustainable change
4. Develop skills for success working in community settings
5. Learn to work effectively as members of a diverse team
6. Develop your own interests and commitment to community engagement and service.

Determination of Grade

20% Individual participation. The class will be discussion-based. It is expected that all participants should show up prepared to discuss the assigned readings for each and every class. In addition, we will be having guest speakers some classes, and it is important that all students prepare well for these guests and be ready to ask them questions. Faculty will record participation in each class. Students will receive the following: **0 (absent); 1 (attending class but not contributing); 2 (contribution to class discussion)**. Class participation grading will begin week 3 and lowest grade will be dropped.

Grading class participation, we take both quantity and quality into account. Examples of high-quality comments follow:

Offering analytic insights (e.g., instead of simply reporting case facts, explain what you think is happening or what the case protagonist should do and *why*)

Relating experiences in class exercises and the analysis of written cases to more broadly generalizable concepts in the readings

- Helping class participants recognize the generalizable ideas and dynamics in the exercises and case materials through other “real-world” examples (e.g., sharing personal experiences, making connections to current events or other cases)
- Drawing conceptual connections across class sessions
- Relating class discussion to material covered in other core courses

Finally, high quality contributions are *always respectful* of other class participants. This includes being cognizant of the amount of airtime one is taking as well as the potential to offend other class members. We do not expect you always to agree. *Students are encouraged to challenge one another’s thinking* and to debate conflicting perspectives.

If you would like, you may submit an optional 2-3 paragraph personal statement on how you contributed to the class. If you submit a statement, it is **due on the day that would be the final exam via Canvas**.

Classes 3 – 9 are worth 2 points each total of 14%

Class 10 – Board meeting is worth 4%

Checkgiving Ceremony with Chancellor (During Finals Week) 2%

5% Student presentation on Weekly Topic - Students will pair (or triple) up to sign up for a week (Week 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8). The students will read the assigned reading for the week and then do self-directed, extended reading based on the topic and assigned reading. The students will be responsible for being leads for the discussion and sharing the extended reading with the class. No PowerPoints are required – but students must be prepared and engaging. This is yours to own (assume that you will be doing a 30-minute time period but overall will serve as leaders for that class period because you know the topic more so than your peers. You can create worksheets, handouts, and be in touch with professor to brainstorm. Students will present their topic – including the references to share with the class – at the beginning of the work period (second half of each class). The purpose is for students to own a certain topic, share what they learned from the materials, and to translate to class mates why the material read is useful and relevant for the course. Starts week 2 –pairs/threes are responsible for coordinating with one another to avoid repetition.

15% Completion of Project Milestones – Over the course of the term you will be asked to upload drafts of your mission, vision, values; decision-making matrix, logic model/theory of change, other necessary organizational information; a set of questions to ask at your learning visit; and a write up of answers to these questions; and other things as needed (e.g. relationship building and management plan, draft emails for grant recipients and those not receiving money; nonprofit summaries with full contact information, request for applications).

10% Completion of Reflection Journal – “We do not learn from experience... we learn from reflecting on experience.” — John Dewey. Critical to engaged scholarship is reflection. Journals will be collected at the end of the quarter. Journals should contain clear entries minimum 200 words for each assigned reading. You will also be asked to have your journal in class and write in the journal, responding to prompts. I will

NOT be looking at the journal during the quarter but will collect it at the end of the quarter. You can use the journal to jot down thoughts and questions as you read. Generic prompts to respond to include: 1 – 2 sentences on the argument or what the reading provides to you. What did you already know that you read? What about the reading surprised you? How do you think you will use what you read in the class or other areas of civic and community engagement? How have you already applied what you learned? Throughout the quarter you will be asked during class to write openly about power and positionality in terms of the project we are doing together. I will be asking you to reflect on your expectations of the course in the beginning and then what you learned and what was most meaningful.

30% Group Participation/ Project. The student teams will be graded on their final work; as a part of that grade, peer-evaluations will be incorporated into each student’s grade.

- Project Assignment 1 (P1) - 5%;
- Project Assignment 2 (P2) – 10%;
- P3 & Presentation (includes group participation) – 15%

10%- Completion of Changemaker Proposal. 5% for how best to increase philanthropy engagement on UCI Campus. (each student is responsible for submitting a proposal for discussion/consideration by the class; class then takes key elements from different proposals and crafts one single proposal to Philanthropy Lab). 5% on creating an actionable/feasible implementation plan.

5%- Individual Giving Philosophy. Students, as a part of the Philanthropy Lab gift, are to submit in writing their own personal giving pledge and philosophy. Prompt TBD.

5%- Completion of Philanthropy Lab Introductory Letter (students must initial hard copy and return to professor) and completion of both pre and post-tests.

Extra Credit:

We are in the process of organizing some amazing guest speakers for **Philanthropy Fridays**. For each of the philanthropy Friday’s you attend you can receive extra credit (2 points per meeting). Dates will be announced soon. These are great networking opportunities as well.

You are also welcome to find philanthropy relevant events in the university and in Orange County to attend (over the course of the 10 week quarter). You can receive extra credit for these if you share them with you class mates, provide proof of attendance, write and share a short summary at the next class (sharing at class given it is not week 10), and take pictures if it is appropriate and allowed.

Giving Book – If you successfully complete the giving book/have a plan to complete it by the end of Spring quarter 2019 you can receive 5 extra credit points.

.....

Course Structure:

Week 1: YOUR POWER & PHILANTHROPY

READ BEFORE CLASS:

1. Philanthropy Primer:
<https://www.jcfhartford.org/Portals/0/Uploads/Documents/Strategic%20Philanthropy%20Primer.pdf>
2. Marullo, S., & Edwards, B. (2000). From charity to justice: The potential of university-community collaboration for social change. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(5), 895-912.

Class Objectives:

An overview of what philanthropy has done/can do on a global and local level and introduction to the contextual challenges in philanthropic problem solving. Understanding the concept of “giving” and its related “moral hazards” and how individuals interpret/design their own application of philanthropy.

Class 1 Learning Objective:

By the end of today’s session, students will be able to

- Explain the general societal rationale for giving and their own instincts and perspectives on giving/philanthropy
- Articulate the ground rules/protocols/roles and responsibilities of group members and their group, as well as the team values of their group.
- List and describe the sectors that might be engaged.
- Discuss and sign the Grant Letter and take the pre-test for Philanthropy Lab (if not already completed)

Discussion Questions:

1. What is the rationale for giving to society? How do personal philosophies intersect with ideas about improving society? What are your initial instincts about giving to a particular cause or organization?
2. As you think about your own “lifetime practice” of philanthropy, can you imagine it is really a practice or just episodic intervention?
3. What is philanthropy, and why engage in such practices – charity, duty, justice?

Individual Task 1: Sign up for a presentation date.

READ BEFORE CLASS: Beginning Chapters from Liu (Part I & II) and from Stroh (Ch 1,2,3)

Topics: power, social change, models of each

Class 2 Learning Objective:

By the end of today's session, students will be able to

- Explain the three concept of power (Liu)
- Compare and contrast conventional and systems approaches to social change (Stroh)

Class Objective: Understand the role of power and systems in making social change. What power do you as an individual hold? Why does citizen power matter? What are the 3 concepts of power Liu identifies? Why do systems matter in tackling these complex issues? How do these concepts of citizen involvement and systems impact our thinking on philanthropy- its purpose and structure?

Class 2 Learning Objectives: By the end of today's class students will articulate a problem of interest through the lens of systems change.

Individual Task 1: Sign up for a presentation date if you have not already (to present the topic).

Class Task 1: USING THE UNITED WAY REPORT - Begin brainstorming for the issue your "foundation" aka your team will fund. E.g. health, homelessness, etc

Class Task 2: BREAK INTO TEAMS:

Today you form your Project Groups. In this class, have your first group meeting. Meet as a group. You can do this during class time. It is good at this meeting to take time to establish general working hours for each of your teammates. When is it reasonable to expect to meet? get work done? respond to email? Share contact info. This may be email, cell phones, whatever you like best. Set up a communications covenant, which is basically fancy wording for agree on HOW you will communicate, HOW OFTEN, and what to do if someone has gone AWOL.

Team Task 1: Meet with your team. First, appoint someone to act as a "**facilitator**" – someone who will guide team conversations for the day, and a **recorder to take minutes** for this week—these roles will rotate every session. Get to know your teammates. What are your initial thoughts and experiences on giving? What do you believe you can add to the group process? What values and beliefs do you bring to the group? Discuss how your team can negotiate a set of team values that is agreed to by all team members.

Reading due today:

1. Bridgespan Group, “How to Research a Nonprofit—Moderate Approach.”
<http://www.bridgespan.org/Philanthropy-Advice/Researching-Nonprofits/Due-Diligence-Tool/How-to-Research-a-Nonprofit%E2%80%94Light-Touch-Approach.aspx#.VduoMvIvBc>
2. Callahan Prologue & Chs 1,2 (Entire Class)
 1. Chapter 3: Grandmasters (subset of 4-5 students TBD)
 2. Chapter 4: Super citizen (subset of 4-5 students TBD)
 3. Chapter 5: Disrupters (subset of 4-5 students TBD)
 4. Chapter 7: Advocates (subset of 4-5 students TBD)
 5. Chapter 8: Networkers (subset of 4-5 students TBD)
3. **Review resources:**
How to write mission and vision:
<https://topnonprofits.com/examples/nonprofit-mission-statements/>
<https://topnonprofits.com/examples/vision-statements/>

Topics: Researching nonprofits, types of givers, mission, vision, values, problem statement, strategic planning, organizational development

Assignment Due: Implicit bias results- GROUP DISCUSSION

Go to <https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/> and take AT LEAST THREE of the hidden bias tests (under social biases, on left side). Bring your results with you to class. We will break into small groups and discuss.

Class 3 Learning Objectives:

By the end of today’s class – students will:

- Experience and identify their own implicit bias
- Draft an organization mission statement

In Class Team Tasks:

1. Begin doing in-depth research into the social issue that your team has chosen to address with your grant. What is the extent of the problem in OC? What efforts are currently underway to solve it? Why does this problem require your team’s attention? Why is it important to address? Write up a **one- to two-page summary of your team’s social issue as it relates to Orange County from your team’s perspective and post it to your canvas.** You may do this work and write-up collectively or assign individual segments of research and writing to each team member. Make sure that the problem/issue is well-defined and justifies your philanthropic interest—your team will be making a big financial investment in an organization.
2. Begin drafting your team’s “problem statement,” specifically defining what problem you will address with your funding.
3. Begin drafting your team’s “mission statement,” or what your team hopes to achieve through its philanthropic efforts.
4. Finalize your team’s statement of values.
5. Come up with a name for your foundation.

6. Upload your organization's problem statement, mission statement, and values.

WEEK 4 - Making Decisions about Decisions – January 29

Reading due today:

1. MindTools, "Decision Matrix Analysis." (C)
https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTED_03.htm
2. The Bridgespan Group/GiveSmart, "Donor Decision Tool." (C)
<https://www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/philanthropy/nonprofit-due-diligence-donor-decision-tool>
3. Stroh Ch 4, 9 & 10

Topics: Decision making, root causes, systems change, social change

Class Objective: Students will develop a tool to make funding decisions. And Hear from Dan Stetson, Executive Director of the Nicholas Foundation

Class 4 Learning Objectives: by the end of today's class students will be able to

Final Project Assignment 1 Due

P1 – The Opportunity/ Topic of Focus

Final Project Assignment 1 - P1: In a 2-page paper, single spaced 1-inch margins, each student will turn in a statement of purpose for the organization that includes narrative sections covering: (1) description of the issue of focus for your organization with citations (APA format or any other consistent formatting you are comfortable with).

DUE WEEK 4

In-class Team Task:

1. Decide what information you will need to get from your "finalists" to help you make funding decisions. Make a distinction between the information you can need "on paper" (mostly financial info) and the information you gather by visiting the organization (developing indicators before to keep in your head, being a keen observer, and taking notes afterward is key!).
2. Develop an "application for funding" that we will eventually send to class finalists.
3. Upload drafts to canvas.

Week 5: THEORIES AND LOGICS OF CHANGE – February 5

Readings due today:

W.K. Kellogg Foundation, *Logic Model Development Guide*, pp. 1-14 (C):

<https://www.bttop.org/sites/default/files/public/W.K.%20Kellogg%20LogicModel.pdf>

Callahan Chapters: 6, 9, 10, 11 and Epilogue

Topics: Logic model, theory of change, strategic planning, visioning

Class Objective: Developing a basic framework for determining theories of change underpinning philanthropic and associated non-profit work. What is it that you hope to accomplish with this gift? What problems are you addressing? How will this gift help remedy the problem you identified in your mission statement? What are key assumptions that underlie your theory of change? How do you have a reliable process and still remain nimble and able to act quickly? And as any grantee will tell you, philanthropy is often notorious for “front-ending” the level of effort on the grantee upfront as a foundation decides whether to make a grant and then effectively abandoning the grantee after the grant is made—What should foundations do and how will your team manage its relationship with its grantee? How do you plan to remain engaged, responsive, but not create too much extra work for grantees.

Impact can- and should- be measured. Programs are notoriously simpler to measure than systems and policy change...But those too can be measured. As you consider your team’s philanthropic strategy, what are your team’s expectations on measuring impact?

Class Learning Objectives:

By the end of today’s session, students will be able to

- Draft a visual of a theory of change for an organization you want to fund or for the problem you seek to address
- Articulate 3 assumptions that the theory of change makes

Resources:

- Theory of Change youtube video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6zRre_gB6A4
- Example of Theory of Change, youtube video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=urU-a_Fs5Y
- Mackinnon, Amott, & McGarvey. “Mapping Change.” (C)
- W.K. Kellogg Foundation, *Logic Model Development Guide*, pp. 1-14 (C)

DUE Today:

1. **Upload draft DECISION MAKING Criteria.**
2. **Final Project P2:** In a 2-page paper, single spaced 1-inch margins, each student will focus on two nonprofit agencies related to your issue. Using information to be found online as well as other materials directly from the agencies, the student will identify the mission and goals of each agency and describe sample projects. Students should be able to identify both what services this agency provides to the community (and who community is for them) as well as what qualities seem to make them confident in their “investment” (or not confident if research indicates a problem). The student will distribute and present the paper to their group as well as turn in online.

Due WEEK 5 – February 5

In-class Team Task: By the end of class, teams will use the decisionmaking criteria (drafted week 4 and uploaded for today) and deliberation propose two nonprofit agencies as a team. Upload the names of the nonprofits and justifications for their selections – must include evidence by nonprofit

Week 6: DECISION MAKING - February 12

Read before class: (available online)

The Bridgespan Group, "Quick Guide to Conducting a Nonprofit Site Visit." (C)

<https://www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/philanthropy/nonprofit-due-diligence-donor-decision-tool/quick-guide-to-conducting-a-nonprofit-site-visit>

The Bridgespan Group, "Guide to Interviewing a Nonprofit's CEO." (C)

<https://www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/philanthropy/nonprofit-due-diligence-donor-decision-tool/quick-guide-to-interviewing-a-nonprofit's-ceo>

The Bridgespan Group, "Guide to Interviewing a Nonprofit Board Member." (C)

<https://www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/philanthropy/nonprofit-due-diligence-donor-decision-tool/quick-guide-to-interviewing-a-nonprofit-board-memb>

La Piana Consulting, "Due Diligence Done Well: A Guide for Grantmakers."

<http://www.philanthropy.ie/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/Due-Diligence-Done-Well-Grantmakers-for-Effective-Organizations-2010.pdf>

And review:

MindTools, "Decision Matrix Analysis." (C) https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTED_03.htm

The Bridgespan Group/GiveSmart, "Donor Decision Tool." (C)

<https://www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/philanthropy/nonprofit-due-diligence-donor-decision-tool>

Topics: Getting to know nonprofit, site visit, learning visit, criteria, assessing nonprofits, decisionmaking, value-based decision making

Class Objective: Prepare for the site visit – think about humility, respect – think about building the relationship and what will you be asking for?

Class Week 6 Learning Objectives:

Students will

- Prepare documents for their site visits
- Explain accountability including its contradictions
- Hear from Guest Speaker

DUE:

1. **Completion of Changemaker Proposal DUE WEEK 7 to Canvas** for how best to increase philanthropy engagement on UCI Campus. (each student is responsible for submitting a proposal for discussion/consideration by the class; class then takes key elements from different proposals and crafts one single proposal to Philanthropy Lab).
2. **Present Decision-making tool to the class and upload to your team's canvas website.**

*Week 7: Philanthropy –
Embedded, Grassroots Grantmaking, Fieldbuilding and the future....
February 19*

Read before class:

Karlström, M., Brown, P., Chaskin, R., & Richman, H. (2009). Embedded philanthropy and the pursuit of civic engagement. *The Foundation Review*, 1(2), 6.

McPheeters, H. L. (2013). Community Grantmaking Intermediaries: Maintaining Relevancy in the Face of Competition. *SPNHA Review*, 9(1), 5.

<https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1028&context=spnhareview>

Eikenberry, A. M. (2006). Giving circles: Growing grassroots philanthropy. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 35(3), 517-532. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0899764006287482>

Topics: Innovative forms of giving, Intermediaries, Embedded Philanthropy, Giving Circles

Class Objectives: Embedded Philanthropy is one approach to ensuring that the givers are engaged and responsive. We will also discuss this in terms of other approaches to philanthropy that we have been learning about through the quarter so far. In the work periods, we will prepare for our learning visits to nonprofit organizations, and present and plan for the changemakers proposal.

Class 7 Learning Objectives: By the end of today's session, students will be able to

- Describe the elements of embedded philanthropy
- Build a relationship with nonprofit organizations

In-class Activities:

1. **Changemaker Proposal Pitch and Class Selection**
2. **Planning for Implementing Changemaker Proposal**

Week 8: METRICS MATTER – February 26

READ BEFORE CLASS:

1. Eckhart-Queenan and Matt Forti, "Measurement as Learning: What Nonprofit CEOs, Board Members and Philanthropists Need to Know to Keep Improving," The Bridgespan Group, January 2011. <http://www.bridgespan.org/measurement-as-learning.aspx> (Open and read all sections at the bottom of the article as well)
2. Stroh Chapter 12

Topics: Evaluation, Measuring Change, Impact, Learning

Class Objectives: Students will understand the role evaluation and accountability plays in the ongoing philanthropy-nonprofit dance. They will have basic tools to be able to assess their desired grantees in varying degrees, based on the financial commitment they are prepared to make (e.g. you cannot expect the same accountability and measurement for a \$5,000 grant and a \$50,000 grant commitment)

Class 8 Learning Objectives: By the end of today's session, students will be able to

- Develop evaluation tools for grants given
- List and describe different types of Evaluation

In-class Activity: Develop two evaluation tools

- (1) for the grantee based on a logic model;
- (2) for OC philanthropic organization based on logic model

Week 9: PRESENTATIONS and Prepare for Board Decisions– March 5

Read before class: (To be decided by class – what else do you need at this point?)

Topics: Philanthropic Boards, Nonprofit Boards, Decisionmaking

Class objective: During the presentations, one team will present, and other teams will be assigned to provide constructive feedback. This is intended to provide another opportunity for students to think critically about a problem and response. Each team will get a chance to “pitch” and “catch.”

P3: Presentation: Each group will give a presentation on the final group you have chosen to advocate for funding. If there are an estimated four groups each group has 30 minutes to present and 10 minutes for questions.

You will send your PowerPoint Presentation to Dr. Bredow at least 24 hours before class to be loaded onto a single computer.

Your presentation should be organized as follows:

1. Introduce your organization:

Section 1 - The general area you are working to address. (5 minutes)

- What are the major issues and concerns? (use references and statistics); what is the scope of the problem?
- What is being done to address the problem (OC, California, and the World)?
- Who are some of the nonprofits in this space, both big and small?

2. Recommendation for funding

Section 2 – Overview of the Nonprofit (5 minutes)

- Provide a succinct overview of the nonprofit organization selected by the team. This should include the mission (possibly the mission statement but also more broadly what you interpret their mission to be), history, scope, location(s), and community served. It should give a clear overview of the key programs, services and/or advocacy work the group has done. The overview should give a sense of any awards, major growth or other newsworthy items as

background.

- Explain the organization's size and structure as well as how they are doing financially. At this point, ratings from external nonprofit rating bodies as well as anything you have found through public records searchers, annual reports, and so on will be helpful.
- Describe how else this organization partners or engages with UC Irvine.

Sections 3 + 4 – Project Description and Budget (8 minutes)

- Describe the specific project proposed for a class award.
- Provide an overview visual of the Theory of Change & Logic behind the project funding requested.
- Include who will be served and how as well as what the money will be used for specifically (including a detailed budget). Will this funding be leveraged to secure additional funding? Is there any sustainability planning for this funding—given that it is only a 1-year gift?

Sections 5, 6, 7 – Timeline, Evaluation and Rationale (7 minutes)

- Discuss the timeline for implementing the project once the funds have been awarded.
- Discuss whether the organization has a clear history and ability for self-reflection and evaluation. How do you know this? Are they data-driven? How do you know this?
- Identify at least two outcomes that are measurable that you will/would assess in the next year to determine whether and how the funding is being used to meet the objectives you initially set out. In addition, provide a clear understanding of how those outcomes will be measured.
- Describe the group's rationale for selecting this project and this non-profit, outlining in detail why the class should choose to fund it.

Section 8 - Questions (5 minutes)

- Anticipated the questions of your peers. What are some of the strengths and weaknesses of the organization/funding strategy that your group is proposing?

Week 10: Board Decisions – March 12

Read before class: (To be decided by class – what else do you need at this point?)

Today will be held like a board meeting of the “UCI Students for a Better a OC Foundation (I made up this name). Each of you represent a board member that will decide on who is funded. The board will be responsible for then preparing letters to those that received funding and those who did not. The chair will lead the board meeting and review the decision-making process.

Your participation for this class will be based on how seriously you take on your role as a board member. These are real dollars, real people, and so we have to be prepared and responsible.

We will also celebrate our accomplishments today, reflect on the process, and prepare for the even with the Chancellor (TBC March 19 – 21).

Due: Prepare for the board meeting. Review the decision-making process. Review all the applications. Come prepared with your talking points based on evidence found in the proposal. Link your justification to the relative mission, vision, and values – and theories of change.

***Upload these organized preparatory notes to canvas before class.**

Course Policies

Dropping the Course

This course includes working in a group and with external organizations. To support mutual responsibility within and outside the class, no drops are permitted after the end of week two, and it is greatly preferred that you do not add or drop after week one. Please do NOT come to talk to me about trying to drop this class after the second week. It will only be an uncomfortable situation for us both, and in the end, the policy is firm.

Class Participation

By actively participating in class you can develop your professional skills for design. Here are some examples of how you can participate:

1. Treat all with respect – be constructive in all discussions
2. Come to class prepared – read carefully prior to class meetings and post reading reflections on time
3. Be an active listener – be attentive, be engaged, use in-class technology with discretion
4. Ask challenging questions
5. Comment, build on, or clarify others' contributions
6. Post useful or interesting information to the class discussion list or the class website

Quality of Written Assignments

Reports should be well organized, thoroughly proofread, and free from grammatical errors. Each assignment will have “quality of written assignments” as a graded component worth at least 10%. If English is not your first language, I recommend you check out the UCI writing center at <http://www.writing.uci.edu/writingcenter.html> during Week 1 or 2 in the quarter. In addition to the above recommendations, all assignments should include the following information:

Your name and e-mail address

US10 Winter 2019

Assignment number and name (e.g., Reading Reflection 1)

Late Assignments

You can turn in your assignments up to two days late for half-credit. Later than that, and no credit.

Attendance

Students are expected to attend all lectures on time. It is your responsibility to make up the content by meeting with other members of the class. If you make a habit of missing class, it will reflect in your participation grade.

Contacting the Instructor

You are welcome to ask a question about an assignment, to share an interesting article or resource, to request additional time for an assignment (because of significant health, personal, or educational matter), or similar communication. Please note the following guidelines:

- Email or before/after class are the preferred and most reliable methods of contact. Please **include US10 in the subject line of all emails.**
- Whenever appropriate, please **copy the class listserv with your question or comment** as your fellow students might have the answer or the same question.

- E-mail concerning assignments **might not be replied to if it is sent within 36 hours of an assignment due date**
- **If your question concerns your grade, please follow the re-grading policy** (see below)
- E-mail that is sent on **Friday afternoon or over the weekend** is not likely to be **replied to until Monday or Tuesday of the following week**
- **If you don't receive a reply within 2 days or so, please resend your e-mail** or ask about it during class

Re-grading Policy

To have work re-graded, you must submit a **Re-grade Request within one week of when your work was returned**. The request must be a single page, printed on paper or sent by e-mail. It should contain the following information:

- Re-grade Request
- Your name, email address, date the original assignment was due, date you are turning this in.
- An explanation for why you believe you deserve a higher grade.

The instructor will consider your request. If the instructor is convinced by your argument, your work will be re-graded. If not, the instructor will send you an e-mail explaining why. No re-grades will be considered for late work. Re-grades may be lower than original grades.

Accommodations:

If you are a student with a disability (e.g., physical, learning, psychiatric, vision, hearing, etc.) and think that you might need special assistance or a special accommodation in this class or any other class, please check out the [Disability Center online](#) or visit them in person at 100 Disability Services Center, Building 313 Irvine, CA 92697-5130

Counseling Center:

If you find that personal problems, content from this course that is upsetting, study and time management difficulties, etc. are adversely impacting your successful progress at UCI, please check out the [Counseling Center online](#) or in person at 203 student services 1.

Technology Requirements:

You need access to a personal computer (Mac or Windows) for major amounts of time for this course. You need Internet access for this course. You must be able to save word processing files in a .doc or .docx (Microsoft Word) or .pdf format for sharing and submitting files to the instructor. You are expected to have working knowledge and capability with your computer before entering this class. Please submit all papers and materials (unless otherwise noted in the course schedule) through Canvas online. **NO ASSIGNMENTS WILL BE ACCEPTED BY EMAIL. NO EXCEPTIONS.**

Class information and announcements will be communicated through Canvas and through your UCI email address. To access Canvas, you will need your UCI Net ID and password. If you do not know these, please contact OIT.

Plagiarism & Cheating:

The instructor cannot and will not tolerate academic dishonesty. For more information, refer to the UCI Student Handbook. The UCI campus policy on plagiarism can also be found on the Registrar's website, under "[Academic Honesty Policy](#)".

In assignments that involve a group *you will ALL be held EQUALLY responsible for any plagiarism,*

regardless of who actually wrote what in the paper/presentation. Do not claim that your partner was the one who actually plagiarized. You are responsible for content with your name on it. Everything you turn in WILL BE CHECKED FOR PLAGIARISM. The penalty for plagiarism and cheating is *at a minimum* to receive a 0 on the assignment and have the case reported to the Dean of Undergraduate Education office. Particularly flagrant cases may receive more severe punishment (notably failing the course).

I cannot emphasize to you enough how strongly I feel about plagiarism and cheating. It will NOT be tolerated. If you have any questions, please come to me and ask. It is much better to ask before than to be caught after.

What is cheating?

- Supplying or using work or answers not your own.
- Providing or accepting assistance with completing assignments or examinations.
- Interfering in any way with someone else's work.
- Stealing an examination, solution, paper topic, etc. from the teacher.

What is plagiarism?

- Copying a paper from a source text without proper acknowledgment.
- Buying a paper from a research service or term paper mill.
- Turning in another student's work with or without that student's knowledge.
- Copying a paper from a source text without proper acknowledgment.
- Copying materials from a source text, supplying proper documentation, but leaving out quotation marks.
- Paraphrasing materials from a source text without appropriate documentation.
- Turning in a paper from a term paper website.

You should be EVER WATCHFUL about plagiarism. It can creep up in the strangest and most unexpected places, and I will be on guard at all times in search of it. In academia, the only thing we have is our ideas. If you do not respect other people's ideas, you cannot be a successful, moral, and ethical academic.

Generally, when you use ideas and/or words gathered from some other source, you will either quote that source directly or you will paraphrase or summarize that work. You MUST let the reader know which you are doing.

1. If you quote the source directly, you must

- a. put quotation marks before and after that person's words;
- b. let the reader know the source by (1) putting a footnote or endnote number at the end of the quotation, or (2) putting at least the source's name in parentheses after the quotation marks (such as when being taken from fieldwork).

2. If you paraphrase (a paraphrase is about the same length as the original, but in different words) or if you summarize (a summary is a severely shortened version of the original), you must

- a. introduce the source in some manner at the beginning of the passage being paraphrased (or summarized) so that the reader can tell where your idea stops and the other person's begins;
- b. state the ideas taken from the source in your own words and your own arrangement. It is possible to plagiarize sentence patterns as well as exact words.

****A useful rule to check every time you paraphrase or summarize: if, when you are summarizing, you use more than three words in a row from the source materials, you should think about using

quotations around those words. This is not a bad thing. You want to use the quotes!

b. provide the exact source citation/reference for the ideas that you are summarizing. In formal writing, you can do this with a footnote, endnote, or other formal reference. In less formal writing, you can mark it inline or as a note at the end of your writing. Either way, make sure the reader knows where to find the source and gives proper credit to the original author.

3. You must also provide a footnote, endnote, or reference for ANY chart, graph, figure, table, summary, or other data taken directly from another source as well as anything that you state in text that comes from such a visual reference. You should also be sure to check copyright to determine whether you are even allowed to use this figure in the first place. Google and Flickr both have advanced search engines that allow you to only find images that are allowed to be used (typically with attribution through Creative Commons or another similar body).

For example, the text here on plagiarism has been *generously borrowed* and slightly modified from the UTC Center for Advisement and Student Success. Likewise, the course materials have been replicated over the years from my own work in the philanthropy field as well as from Gillian Hayes, who previously taught this course, as well as other Philanthropy Lab courses from Harvard and Tulane which provided a template for the philanthropy aspects of this course. These contributions to these materials are incredibly appreciated.

Non-required Reading List

INCITE! Women of Color Against Violence (eds). *The Revolution will not be Funded: Beyond the Nonprofit Industrial Complex*. 2017.

Carnegie, Andrew, *Gospel of Wealth and Other Timely Essays*, Chapter 2: The Problem of the Administration of Wealth (Chapter 3: the Advantages of Poverty, pp. 25-31).

Gates, Bill. Commencement Speech, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 2007.
<http://www.networkworld.com/article/2291053/software/microsoft-s-bill-gates--harvard-commencement-speech-transcript.html>

Andrew Ross Sorkin. August 29, 2011. The Mystery of Steve Jobs's Public Giving. ~~The~~ New York Times: dealbook.nytimes.com/2011/08/29/the-mysteryof-steve-jobss-public-giving/

Kathleen Chaykowski "Chan Zuckerberg Initiative Promises To Spend \$3 Billion To Research And Cure All Diseases" Forbes: <http://www.forbes.com/sites/kathleenchaykowski/2016/09/21/chan-zuckerberg-initiative-invests-3-billion-to-cure-disease/#7f6ca6a8706>

Lieber, Ron, "Your Money: As the Year Draws to a Close, deciding How to Slice Your Charitable Pie", The New York Times, December 7, 2012. <http://nyti.ms/VoxfaL>

Alessandra Stanley. October 31, 2015. Silicon Valley's New Philanthropy. The New York Times. http://www.nytimes.com/2015/11/01/opinion/siliconvalleysnew-philanthropy.html?_r=0

Jones, “Doors and Mirrors: Reflections on the Art of Philanthropy” (C)

Singer, “What Should a Billionaire Give—And What Should You?” (C)

Brest, Paul and Harvey, Hal, *Money Well Spent: A Strategic Plan for Smart Philanthropy*, Chapter 3: Analyzing Problems and Developing Solutions, pp. 37-57.

Bardach, Eugene, “A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis: The Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving”, *The Eightfold Path: Step One: Define the Problem* pp. 1-10

Eckhart-Queenan and Matt Forti, “Measurement as Learning: What Nonprofit CEOs, Board Members and Philanthropists Need to Know to Keep Improving,” *The Bridgespan Group*, January 2011.
<http://www.bridgespan.org/measurement-as-learning.aspx>

Buffett, “The Charitable-Industrial Complex.” *New York Times*, July 26, 2013 (C)

Buchanan, “On Peter Buffett’s Op-Ed.” (C)

Orosz, *The Insider’s Guide to Grantmaking*, “Site Visits” (C)

Eisenberg, “Penetrating the Mystique of Philanthropy: Relations between Fund Raisers and Grant Makers”

Ott, J. Steven and Dicke, Lisa A., editors, *The Nature of the Nonprofit Sector*, Chapter 27: The World We Must Build, pp. 318-325.

Peter Frumkin. 2006. *Central Problems: Effectiveness, Accountability, and Legitimacy*, *Strategic giving: The art and science of philanthropy*. Chicago: University of Chicago, pp. 55-89.
<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/northwestern/Doc?id=10271872&ppg=94>

Paul Brest. Spring 2012. *A Decade of Outcome-Oriented Philanthropy*. *Stanford Social Innovation Review* http://www.ssireview.org/articles/entry/a_decade_of_outcome_oriented_philanthropy

Frumkin, Peter, *Strategic Giving: The Art and Science of Philanthropy*, Chapter 6: Logic Model: Theories of Change, Leverage, and Scale, pp. 174-216.

Paul Brest and Hal Harvey. 2008. *Choices in Philanthropic Goals, Strategies, and Styles* (Chapter 2), *Solving Problems through Program Strategies* (Chapter 4). *Money well spent: A strategic plan for smart philanthropy*. New York: Bloomberg Press, pp. 21-70.

Brest, Paul and Harvey, Hal, *Money Well Spent: A Strategic Plan for Smart Philanthropy*, Chapter 10: Impact on Steroids: Measuring the Social Return on Your Philanthropic Investment, pp. 149—165.

Advancing Evaluation Practices in Philanthropy, *Sponsored Supplement to Stanford Social Innovation Review*, Summer 2012, Vol.10, Number 3, pp. 2-23.

[http://www.aspeninstitute.org/sites/default/files/content/docs/pubs/PSI-SSIR-Advancing- Eval-Practices-Philanthropy](http://www.aspeninstitute.org/sites/default/files/content/docs/pubs/PSI-SSIR-Advancing-Eval-Practices-Philanthropy).

Flandez, Raymund, “Donors Say They Would Give More if They Saw More Results,” *Chronicle of*

Philanthropy, June 21, 2012. [http://philanthropy.com/article/Many-Donors- Would-Give-More-if/132437/](http://philanthropy.com/article/Many-Donors-Would-Give-More-if/132437/)

Ott, J. Steven and Dicke, Lisa A., editors, *The Nature of the Nonprofit Sector*, Chapter 19: Communities, Networks, and the Future of the Philanthropy, pp. 215-224.

Russ Juskalian. February 9, 2014. Was Carnegie Right About Philanthropy? *The New Yorker*, [http://www.newyorker.com/business/currency/was-carnegieright-about- philanthropy](http://www.newyorker.com/business/currency/was-carnegieright-about-philanthropy), pp. 1-5

Peter Buffett, *New York Times*, July 26, 2013 *The Charitable-Industrial Complex,*"

Brest, Paul and Harvey, Hal, *Money Well Spent: A Strategic Plan for Smart Philanthropy*, Chapter 13: Influencing Individuals, Policy Makers, and Businesses, pp. 205-225.

Zunz, Olivier, *Philanthropy in America, A History*, Chapter 3: The Regulatory Compromise, pp.76-103.

MindTools, "Decision Matrix Analysis." (C)

https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTED_03.htm

The Bridgespan Group/GiveSmart, "Donor Decision Tool." (C)

<https://www.bridgespan.org/insights/library/philanthropy/nonprofit-due-diligence-donor-decision-tool>